

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,577	02/23/2005	Liesbeth Van Pieterson	NL 020830	4877
24737 PHILIPS INTE	10/525,577 02/23/2005 Liesbeth Van Pieterson	EXAMINER		
10/525,577 02/23/2005 Liesbeth Van Pieterson 24737 7590 09/17/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001	MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/525,577	VAN PIETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth E. Mulvaney	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/05.	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/525,577

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 5,072,423.

The reference discloses an optical recording medium comprising a substrate, a dielectric layer, a recording layer, and a second dielectric layer where the recording layer comprises Ga-In-Sb in the claimed atomic percentages. See Figure, 1, Example 1, and claims 2 and 4.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US 2002/0160305.

The reference discloses an optical recording medium comprising a substrate, a first dielectric layer, a recording layer, a second dielectric layer, and a reflective layer. The recording layer is formed of a phase change material comprising Sb in the claimed atomic percentage where In or Ga may be added thereto. See claim 15 and Figure 1.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2003/0059711.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This

rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,072,423 in view of US 5,914,214.

US 5,072,423 discloses the medium as described in an above rejection. It is recognized that the reference does not include a reflective layer, an auxiliary layer of Si-N, or the recording layer thickness as claimed. However the '214 reference discloses these features in a medium which utilizes Ga-In-Sb as a recording layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include these features in the '423 recording medium. One would reasonably expect the reflective layer, auxiliary layer, and recording layer thickness to further enhance the recording properties of the '423 medium as is shown in the '214 medium. Similarly, it would have been obvious to use the specific phase change material as taught in the '423 patent in the '214 recording medium. One would reasonably expect the superior recording properties of the '423 recording layer in the '214 medium.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/525,577

Art Unit: 1774

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b). Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/117,852. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they disclose the same recording medium comprising a recording layer formed of a Ga-In-Sb alloy.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art cited disclose various phase change recording materials.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached at (571) 272-1398. The fax number for the organization where the application is assigned is (571) 273-8307. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR System, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions

Art Unit: 1774

on access to the Private PAIR System, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Elizabeth Evans Mulvaney

Primary Examiner

Group 1700 571-272-1527